

WEAKENING IDEMPOTENCY IN  $K$ -THEORY

V. MANUILOV

ABSTRACT. We show that the  $K$ -theory of  $C^*$ -algebras can be defined by pairs of matrices satisfying less strict relations than idempotency.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

$K$ -theory of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is patently defined by pairs (formal differences) of idempotent matrices (projections) over  $A$ . Regretfully, being a projection is a very strict property, and it is usually very hard to find projections in a given  $C^*$ -algebra. Many famous conjectures (Kadison, Novikov, Baum–Connes, Bass, etc.) are related to projections and would become more tractable if one could provide enough projections for a given  $C^*$ -algebra. Our aim is to show that the  $K$ -theory can be defined using less restrictive relations in hope that it would be easier to find elements satisfying these relations than the genuine idempotency. We show that  $K$ -theory is generated by pairs  $a, b$  of matrices over  $A$  satisfying  $(a - a^2)(a - b) = (b - b^2)(a - b) = 0$ , which means that  $a$  and  $b$  have to be “projections” only when  $a \neq b$ .

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND SOME PROPERTIES

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. For  $a, b \in A$ , consider the relations

$$\|a\| \leq 1; \quad \|b\| \leq 1; \quad a, b \geq 0; \quad (a - a^2)(a - b) = 0; \quad (b - b^2)(a - b) = 0. \quad (1)$$

Two pairs,  $(a_0, b_0)$  and  $(a_1, b_1)$  of elements in  $A$ , are *homotopy equivalent* if there are paths  $a = (a_t), b = (b_t) : [0, 1] \rightarrow A$ , connecting  $a_0$  with  $a_1$  and  $b_0$  with  $b_1$  respectively, such that the relations

$$\|a_t\| \leq 1; \quad \|b_t\| \leq 1; \quad a_t, b_t \geq 0; \quad (a_t - a_t^2)(a_t - b_t) = 0; \quad (b_t - b_t^2)(a_t - b_t) = 0$$

hold for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

A pair  $(a, b)$  is *homotopy trivial* if it is homotopy equivalent to  $(0, 0)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *The pair  $(a, a)$  is homotopy trivial for any  $a \in A$ .*

*Proof.* The linear homotopy  $a_t = t \cdot a$  would do. □

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $a, b$  satisfy (1) then  $f(a) = f(b)$  and  $f(a)(a - b) = 0$  for any  $f \in C_0(0, 1)$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from  $(a - a^2)(a - b) = 0$ , or, equivalently, from  $(a - a^2)a = (a - a^2)b$ , that

$$(a - a^2)a^2 = a(a - a^2)a = a(a - a^2)b = (a - a^2)b^2,$$

hence

$$(a - a^2)(a - a^2) = (a - a^2)(b - b^2).$$

Similarly,

$$(b - b^2)(b - b^2) = (a - a^2)(b - b^2),$$

---

The author acknowledges partial support by the RFBR grant No. 10-01-00257 and by the Russian Government grant No. 11.G34.31.0005.

therefore

$$(a - a^2)^2 = (b - b^2)^2. \quad (2)$$

Then (2) and positivity of  $a - a^2$  and  $b - b^2$  imply that

$$a - a^2 = b - b^2.$$

Also,

$$(a - a^2)a = (a - a^2)b = (b - b^2)b.$$

Since the two functions  $g, h$ ,  $g(t) = t - t^2$ ,  $h(t) = tg(t)$ , generate  $C_0(0, 1)$ , and  $g(a) = g(b)$ ,  $h(a) = h(b)$ , we conclude that the same holds for any  $f \in C_0(0, 1)$ . Similarly,  $g(a)(a - b) = 0$  and  $h(a)(a - b) = 0$  implies  $f(a)(a - b) = 0$  for any  $f \in C_0(0, 1)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *If  $\|a\| < 1$ ,  $\|b\| < 1$  and the pair  $(a, b)$  satisfies (1) then  $a = b$ , hence the pair  $(a, b)$  is homotopy trivial.*

*Proof.* Take  $f \in C_0(0, 1)$  such that  $f(t) = t \in \text{Sp}(a) \cup \text{Sp}(b)$  and  $f(1) = 0$ . Then  $a = f(a)$ ,  $b = f(b)$ , and the claim follows from Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *The pair  $(f(a), f(b))$  is homotopy equivalent to  $(a, b)$  for any continuous map  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f(1) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* As the set of all functions with the stated properties is convex, so it suffices to show that for any such function  $f$ , the pair  $(f(a), f(b))$  satisfies the relations (1).

Set  $f_0(t) = f(t) - t$ . Then  $f_0 \in C_0(0, 1)$ . As  $f_0(a) = f_0(b)$  by Lemma 2.2, so

$$f(a) - f(b) = a - b.$$

Set

$$g(t) = t - t^2 + f_0(t) - f_0^2(t) - 2tf_0(t).$$

Then  $g \in C_0(0, 1)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (f(a) - f^2(a))(f(a) - f(b)) &= g(a)(a - b) = 0; \\ (f(b) - f^2(b))(f(a) - f(b)) &= g(a)(a - b) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Corollary 2.5.**  $\text{Sp}(a) \setminus \{0, 1\} = \text{Sp}(b) \setminus \{0, 1\}$ .

*Proof.* The inner points of  $[0, 1]$  in the two spectra must coincide by Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

Let  $M_n(A)$  denote the  $n \times n$  matrix algebra over  $A$ . Two pairs,  $(a_0, b_0)$  and  $(a_1, b_1)$ , where  $a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1 \in M_n(A)$ , are equivalent if there is a homotopy trivial pair  $(a, b)$ ,  $a, b \in M_m(A)$  for some integer  $m$ , such that the pairs  $(a_0 \oplus a, b_0 \oplus b)$  and  $(a_1 \oplus a, b_1 \oplus b)$  are homotopy equivalent in  $M_{n+m}(A)$ . Using the standard inclusion  $M_n(A) \subset M_{n+k}(A)$  (as the upper left corner) we may speak about equivalence of pairs of different matrix size.

Let  $[(a, b)]$  denote the equivalence class of the pair  $(a, b)$ ,  $a, b \in M_n(A)$ .

For two pairs,  $(a, b)$ ,  $a, b \in M_n(A)$ , and  $(c, d)$ ,  $c, d \in M_m(A)$ , set

$$[(a, b)] + [(c, d)] = [(a \oplus c, b \oplus d)].$$

The result obviously doesn't depend on a choice of representatives. Also  $[(a, b)] + [(c, d)] = [(a, b)]$  when  $(c, d)$  is homotopy trivial.

**Lemma 2.6.** *The addition is commutative and associative.*

*Proof.* If  $(u_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  is a path of unitaries in  $A$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_0 = u$ , then  $[(u^*au, u^*bu)] = [(a, b)]$  for any  $a, b \in A$ , as the relations (1) are not affected by unitary equivalence. The standard argument with a unitary path connecting  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  proves commutativity. A similar argument proves associativity.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.**  $[(a, b)] + [(b, a)] = [(0, 0)]$  for any  $a, b$ .

*Proof.* Set  $U_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $B_t = U_t^* \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} U_t$ . We claim that the pair  $(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, B_t)$  satisfies the relations (1) for all  $t$ .

One has

$$B_t = \begin{pmatrix} b \cos^2 t + a \sin^2 t & (a-b) \cos t \sin t \\ (a-b) \cos t \sin t & b \sin^2 t + a \cos^2 t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} + (a-b)C_t, \quad (3)$$

where  $C_t = \begin{pmatrix} -\cos^2 t & \cos t \sin t \\ \cos t \sin t & \cos^2 t \end{pmatrix}$ .

Then

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}^2 \right) \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} - B_t \right) = \begin{pmatrix} a-a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & b-b^2 \end{pmatrix} (a-b)C_t = \begin{pmatrix} (a-a^2)(a-b) & 0 \\ 0 & (b-b^2)(a-b) \end{pmatrix} C_t = 0.$$

It remains to show that

$$A = (B_t - B_t^2) \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} - B_t \right) = 0.$$

Using (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} + (a-b)C_t - \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} + (a-b)C_t \right)^2 \right) (a-b)C_t \\ &= \left( \begin{pmatrix} a-a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & b-b^2 \end{pmatrix} + (a-b)C_t - \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} (a-b)C_t - C_t (a-b) \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} - (a-b)^2 C_t^2 \right) (a-b)C_t \\ &= \left( (a-b)C_t - \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} (a-b)C_t - C_t (a-b) \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} - (a-b)^2 C_t^2 \right) (a-b)C_t \\ &= \left( \begin{pmatrix} a-b-a^2+ab & 0 \\ 0 & a-b-ba+b^2 \end{pmatrix} C_t - C_t (a-b) \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} - (a-b)^2 \cos^2 t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) (a-b)C_t \\ &= \left( \begin{pmatrix} -b+ab & 0 \\ 0 & a-ba \end{pmatrix} C_t - C_t \begin{pmatrix} a-ba & 0 \\ 0 & ab-b \end{pmatrix} - (a-b)^2 \cos^2 t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) (a-b)C_t \\ &= \left( \begin{pmatrix} (ab+ba-a-b) \cos^2 t & 0 \\ 0 & (ab+ba-a-b) \cos^2 t \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} (a-b)^2 \cos^2 t & 0 \\ 0 & (a-b)^2 \cos^2 t \end{pmatrix} \right) (a-b)C_t = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the pair  $(a \oplus b, b \oplus a)$  is homotopy equivalent to the pair  $(a \oplus b, a \oplus b)$ , and the latter is homotopy trivial by Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

So we see that the equivalence classes of pairs satisfying the relations (1) in matrix algebras over  $A$  form an abelian group for any  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Let us denote this group by  $L(A)$ .

Note that pairs of projections patently satisfy the relations (1). If  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra then  $K_0(A)$  consists of formal differences  $[p] - [q]$  with  $p, q$  projections in matrices over  $A$ . Then

$$\iota([p] - [q]) = [(p, q)]$$

gives rise to a morphism  $\iota : K_0(A) \rightarrow L(A)$ .

In the non-unital case,  $\iota$  can be defined after unitalization. But, as we shall see later, unlike  $K_0$ , there is no need to unitalize for  $L$ . The following example shows the reason for that in the commutative case.

**Example 2.8.** Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space,  $x \in X$ ,  $Y = X \setminus \{x\}$ ,  $A = C_0(Y)$ ,  $A^+ = C(X)$ . Let  $[p] - [q] \in K_0(A)$ , where  $p, q \in M_n(A^+)$  are projections. Then  $p = p_0 + \alpha$ ,  $q = p_0 + \beta$ , where  $p_0$  is constant on  $X$ , and  $\alpha, \beta \in M_n(A)$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\alpha, \beta = 0$  not only at the point  $x$ , but also in a small neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ . Let  $h \in C(X)$  satisfy  $0 \leq h \leq 1$ ,  $h(x) = 0$  and  $h(z) = 1$  for any  $z \in X \setminus U$ . Set  $a = hp_0 + \alpha$ ,  $b = hp_0 + \beta$ , then  $a, b \in M_n(A)$  and  $[(a, b)] \in L(A)$ .

**Lemma 2.9.**  $L(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in M_n$ ,  $0 \leq a, b \leq 1$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  (resp.  $e'_1, \dots, e'_n$ ) be an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for  $a$  (resp. for  $b$ ) with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  (resp.  $\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_n$ ). Let  $0 < \lambda_i < 1$ . Then  $e_i$  is an eigenvector for  $a - a^2$  with a non-zero eigenvalue  $\lambda_i - \lambda_i^2$ . As  $(a - a^2)(a - b) = 0$ , so  $(a - b)(a - a^2) = 0$ , hence

$$(a - b)(a - a^2)(e_i) = (\lambda_i - \lambda_i^2)(a - b)(e_i) = 0,$$

thus  $(a - b)(e_i) = 0$ , or, equivalently,  $a(e_i) = b(e_i)$ . As  $e_i$  is an eigenvector for  $a$ , so it is an eigenvector for  $b$  as well,  $b(e_i) = \lambda_i e_i$ . So, eigenvectors, corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\neq 0, 1$ , are the same for  $a$  and  $b$ .

Re-order, if necessary, the eigenvalues so that

$$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in (0, 1), \quad \lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n \in \{0, 1\},$$

and denote the linear span of  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  by  $L$ . Similarly, assume that

$$\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_{k'} \in (0, 1), \quad \lambda'_{k'+1}, \dots, \lambda'_n \in \{0, 1\},$$

and denote the linear span of  $e'_1, \dots, e'_{k'}$  by  $L'$ . As  $e_1, \dots, e_k \in L'$  and, symmetrically,  $e'_1, \dots, e'_{k'} \in L$ , so  $\dim L = \dim L'$ ,  $k = k'$ , and  $\lambda_i = \lambda'_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

Then  $L^\perp$  is an invariant subspace for both  $a$  and  $b$ , and the restrictions  $a|_{L^\perp}$  and  $b|_{L^\perp}$  are projections (as their eigenvalues equal 0 or 1). We may write  $a$  and  $b$  as matrices with respect to the decomposition  $L \oplus L^\perp$ :

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}; \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where  $p, q$  are projections. The linear homotopy

$$a_t = \begin{pmatrix} tc & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}; \quad b_t = \begin{pmatrix} tc & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

connects the pair  $(a, b)$  with the pair  $(p, q) + (0, 0)$ . Therefore,  $L(\mathbb{C})$  is a quotient of  $\mathbb{Z}$  (which is the set of homotopy classes of pairs of projections modulo stable equivalence). To see that  $L(\mathbb{C})$  is exactly  $\mathbb{Z}$ , note that (4) implies that  $\text{tr}(a - b) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for any  $a, b$  satisfying the relations (1), so this integer is homotopy invariant.  $\square$

**Remark 2.10.** One may think that the relations (1) imply that  $a, b$  are something like projections plus a common part and can be reduced to just a pair of projections by cutting out the common part. The following example shows that this is not that simple.

**Example 2.11.** Let  $A = C(X)$ , let  $Y, Z$  be closed subsets in  $X$  with  $Y \cap Z = K$ . Let  $p, q \in M_n(C(Y))$  be projection-valued functions on  $Y$  such that  $p|_K = q|_K = r$ , and let  $r$  cannot be extended to a projection-valued function on  $Z$  due to a  $K$ -theory obstruction, but can be extended to a matrix-valued function  $s \in M_n(C(Z))$  on  $Z$  (with  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ ).

Then set  $a = \begin{cases} p & \text{on } Y; \\ s & \text{on } Z \end{cases}$  and  $b = \begin{cases} q & \text{on } Y; \\ s & \text{on } Z \end{cases}$ .

### 3. UNIVERSAL $C^*$ -ALGEBRA FOR RELATIONS (1)

Denote the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $a, b$  satisfying (1) by  $C^*(a, b)$ . The universal  $C^*$ -algebra is the least  $C^*$ -algebra  $D$  such that for any  $a, b$  with (1) there is a surjective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : D \rightarrow C^*(a, b)$ , [5]. ‘The least’ means that for any surjective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi : E \rightarrow C^*(a, b)$  there is a surjective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\chi : E \rightarrow D$  such that  $\psi = \varphi \circ \chi$ .

Let  $I \subset C^*(a, b)$  denote the ideal generated by  $a - a^2$ , and let  $C^*(a, b)/I$  be the quotient  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $C^*(a, b)/I$  is generated by  $\dot{a} = q(a)$  and  $\dot{b} = q(b)$ , where  $q$  is the quotient map. But since  $q(a - a^2) = q(b - b^2) = 0$ ,  $\dot{a}$  and  $\dot{b}$  are projections, and  $C^*(a, b)/I$  is generated by two projections.

Then the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(a, b)$  is completely determined by the ideal  $I$ , by the quotient  $C^*(a, b)/I$ , and by the Busby invariant  $\tau : C^*(a, b)/I \rightarrow Q(I)$  (we denote by  $M(I)$  the multiplier algebra of  $I$  and by  $Q(I) = M(I)/I$  the outer multiplier algebra). The latter is defined by the two projections  $\tau(\dot{a}), \tau(\dot{b}) \in Q(C_0(Y))$ , where  $X = \text{Sp}(a)$ ,  $Y = X \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . Let  $C_b(Y)$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra of bounded continuous functions on  $Y$  and let

$$\pi : C_b(Y) \rightarrow C_b(Y)/C_0(Y) = Q(C_0(Y))$$

be the quotient map. Using Gelfand duality, we identify  $a$  with the function  $\text{id}$  on  $\text{Sp}(a)$ . Let  $f \in C_0(Y)$ . Then

$$\tau(\dot{a})\pi(f(a)) = \tau(\dot{b})\pi(f(a)) = \pi(af(a)),$$

so we can easily calculate these two projections.

If  $1 \notin X$  then  $\tau(\dot{a}) = \tau(\dot{b}) = 0$ ; if  $X = \{1\}$  then  $I = 0$ ; if  $1 \in X$  and  $X$  has at least one more point  $x$  then  $\tau(\dot{a}) = \tau(\dot{b})$  is the class of functions  $f$  on  $X$  such that  $f(1) = 1$  and  $f(t) = 0$  for all  $t \leq x$ .

Let  $M_1 \subset M_2$  denote the upper left corner in the 2-by-2 matrix algebra. Set

$$D = \{f \in C([-1, 1]; M_2) : f(-1) = 0, f(1) \text{ is diagonal}, f(t) \in M_1 \text{ for } t \in (-1, 0]\}.$$

The structure of  $D$  is similar to that of  $C^*(a, b)$ . The ideal

$$J = \{f \in D : f(t) = 0 \text{ for } t \in [0, 1]\} \cong C_0(-1, 0)$$

is the universal  $C^*$ -algebra for  $I$  (surjects on  $I$  for any  $0 \leq a \leq 1$ ), and the quotient is the universal (nonunital)  $C^*$ -algebra

$$D/J = \mathbb{C} * \mathbb{C} = \{m \in C([0, 1], M_2) : m(1) \text{ is diagonal}, m(0) \in M_1\} \quad (5)$$

generated by two projections [6]. Note that this  $C^*$ -algebra is an extension of  $\mathbb{C}$  by the  $C^*$ -algebra  $q\mathbb{C} = \{m \in C_0((0, 1], M_2) : m(1) \text{ is diagonal}\}$  used in the Cuntz picture of  $K$ -theory.

**Lemma 3.1.** *The  $C^*$ -algebra  $D$  is universal for the relations (1).*

*Proof.* For any  $a, b$  satisfying (1) there are standard surjective  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\alpha : J \rightarrow I$  and  $\gamma : D/J \rightarrow C^*(a, b)/I$ . Since  $\alpha$  is surjective, it induces  $*$ -homomorphisms  $M(\alpha) : M(J) \rightarrow M(I)$  and  $Q(\alpha) : Q(J) \rightarrow Q(I)$  in a canonical way. As

$$D \cong \{(m, f) : m \in M(J), f \in D/J, q_J(m) = \tau(f)\},$$

$$C^*(a, b) \cong \{(n, g) : n \in M(I), g \in C^*(a, b)/I, q_I(n) = \sigma(g)\},$$

where  $q_\bullet : M(\bullet) \rightarrow Q(\bullet)$  is the quotient map, so the map  $\beta : D \rightarrow C^*(a, b)$  can be defined by  $\beta(m, f) = (M(\alpha)(m), \gamma(f))$ . This map is well defined if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D/J & \xrightarrow{\tau} & Q(J) \\ \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow Q(\alpha) \\ C^*(a, b)/I & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & Q(I) \end{array}$$

commutes. It does commute. The case  $X = \text{Sp}(a) = \{1\}$  is trivial. For other cases, notice that the image of  $\tau$  lies in  $C_0(0, 1]/C_0(0, 1) \subset Q(J)$ , and the image of  $\sigma$  lies in  $C(X)/C_0(X \setminus \{0\})$ , which is either  $\mathbb{C}$  or 0 (when  $1 \in X$  or  $1 \notin X$  respectively), and the

restriction of  $Q(\alpha)$  from the image of  $\tau$  to the image of  $\sigma$  is induced by the inclusion  $X \subset [0, 1]$ .

So, for any  $A$  and any  $a, b \in A$  satisfying (1) there is a surjective  $*$ -homomorphism from  $D$  to  $C^*(a, b)$ . To see that  $D$  is universal it suffices to show that  $D$  is generated by some  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  satisfying (1). Set

$$\mathbf{a}(t) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{2}t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{for } t \in [-1, 0]; \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{for } t \in [0, 1], \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{b}(t) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{2}t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{for } t \in [-1, 0]; \\ \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{2}t & \cos \frac{\pi}{2}t \sin \frac{\pi}{2}t \\ \cos \frac{\pi}{2}t \sin \frac{\pi}{2}t & \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2}t \end{pmatrix} & \text{for } t \in [0, 1]. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Then  $D$  is generated by these  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ . □

The  $C^*$ -algebra  $D$  allows one more description. Set  $A_0 = \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $F = \mathbb{C} \oplus M_2$  and define a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\gamma : A_0 \rightarrow F \oplus F$  by  $\gamma = \gamma_0 \oplus \gamma_1$ , where  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1 : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus M_2$  are given by

$$\gamma_0(\lambda, \mu) = \lambda \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \gamma_1(\lambda, \mu) = 0 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}; \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Let  $\partial : C([0, 1]; F) \rightarrow F \oplus F$  be the boundary map,  $\partial(f) = f(0) \oplus f(1)$ ,  $f \in C([0, 1]; F)$ . Then  $D$  can be identified with the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D = A_1 & \longrightarrow & A_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ C([0, 1]; F) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & F \oplus F, \end{array}$$

$$D = \{(f, a) : f \in C([0, 1]; F), a \in A_0, \partial(f) = \gamma(a)\}.$$

Such pullback is called a 1-dimensional noncommutative CW complex (NCCW complex) in [4]; in this terminology,  $A_0$  is a 0-dimensional NCCW complex.

Recall ([1]) that a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  is *semiprojective* if, for any  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and increasing chain of ideals  $I_n \subset A$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $I = \overline{\cup_n I_n}$  and for any  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : B \rightarrow A/I$  there exists  $n$  and  $\hat{\varphi} : B \rightarrow A/I_n$  such that  $\varphi = q \circ \hat{\varphi}$ , where  $q : A/I_n \rightarrow A/I$  is the quotient map.

**Corollary 3.2.** *The  $C^*$ -algebra  $D$  is semiprojective.*

*Proof.* Essentially, this is Theorem 6.2.2 of [4], where it is proved that all unital 1-dimensional NCCW complexes are semiprojective. The non-unital case is dealt in Theorem 3.15 of [7], where it is noted that if  $A_1$  is a 1-dimensional NCCW complex then  $A_1^+$  is a 1-dimensional NCCW as well, and semiprojectivity of  $A_1$  is equivalent to semiprojectivity of  $A_1^+$ . □

One more picture of  $D$  can be given in terms of amalgamated free product:  $D = C(0, 1] *_{C_0(0, 1)} C(0, 1]$ .

4. IDENTIFYING  $L$  WITH  $K_0$ 

Our definition of  $L(A)$  can be reformulated in terms of the universal  $C^*$ -algebra  $D$  as

$$L(A) = \varinjlim [D, M_n(A)],$$

where  $[-, -]$  denotes the set of homotopy classes of  $*$ -homomorphisms. Recall that semiprojectivity is equivalent to stability of relations that determine  $D$ , (Theorem 14.1.4 of [5]). The latter means that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $c, d \in A$  satisfy

$$\|c\| \leq 1, \quad \|d\| \leq 1, \quad c, d \geq 0, \quad \|(c - c^2)(c - d)\| < \delta, \quad \|(d - d^2)(c - d)\| < \delta,$$

there exist  $a, b \in A$  such that  $\|a - c\| < \varepsilon$ ,  $\|b - d\| < \varepsilon$ , and  $a, b$  satisfy the relations (1). Stability of the relations (1) implies that

$$L(A) = [D, A \otimes \mathbb{K}] = [[D, A \otimes \mathbb{K}]],$$

where  $\mathbb{K}$  denotes the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators, and  $[[\cdot, \cdot]]$  is the set of homotopy classes of asymptotic homomorphisms.

**Lemma 4.1.** *The functor  $L$  is half-exact.*

*Proof.* Let

$$0 \longrightarrow I \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{p} A \longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of  $C^*$ -algebras. It is obvious that  $p_* \circ i_* = 0$ , so it remains to check that  $\text{Ker } p_* \subset \text{Im } i_*$ . Suppose that  $a, b \in M_n(B)$  satisfy (1) and  $(p(a), p(b)) = 0$  in  $L(A)$ . This means that there is a homotopy connecting  $(p(a), p(b))$  to  $(0, 0)$  in  $M_k(A)$  for some  $k \geq n$  such that the whole path satisfies (1). This homotopy is given by a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi : D \rightarrow C([0, 1], M_k(A))$  such that  $\text{ev}_1 \circ \psi = 0$ , where  $\text{ev}_t$  denotes the evaluation map at  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

When  $D$  is a semiprojective  $C^*$ -algebra, the homotopy lifting theorem ([2], Theorem 5.1) asserts that, given a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D & & & & \\ & \searrow \varphi & & \nearrow \kappa & \\ & C([0, 1]; M_k(B)) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_0} & M_k(B) & \\ & \downarrow \bar{p}_k & & \downarrow p_k & \\ & C([0, 1]; M_k(A)) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_0} & M_k(A), & \end{array}$$

where  $\bar{p}_k$  and  $p_k$  are the  $*$ -homomorphisms induced by a surjection  $p$ , there exists a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi$  completing the diagram. Replacing  $A$  and  $B$  by matrices over these  $C^*$ -algebras, we get a lifting  $\varphi$  for the given homotopy. As  $\text{ev}_1 \circ \psi = 0$ , so  $\text{ev}_1 \circ \varphi$  maps  $D$  to  $M_k(I)$ . Thus the pair  $(a, b)$  lies in the image of  $i_*$ . □

In a standard way, set  $L_n(A) = L(S^n A)$ , where  $SA$  denotes the suspension over  $A$ . Then, by Theorem 21.4.3 of [3],  $L_n(A)$ , being homotopy invariant and half-exact, is a homology theory. Also, by Theorem 22.3.6 of [3] and by Lemma 2.9, it coincides with the  $K$ -theory on the bootstrap category of  $C^*$ -algebras. We shall show now that it coincides with the  $K$ -theory for any  $C^*$ -algebra.

Set

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \mathbf{b} & f(\mathbf{a}) \\ f(\mathbf{a}) & \mathbf{a} \end{pmatrix}; \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \mathbf{b} & f(\mathbf{a}) \\ f(\mathbf{a}) & \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  are generators for  $D$  ((6),(7)), and  $f \in C_0(0, 1)$  is given by  $f(t) = (t - t^2)^{1/2}$ . Then  $P, Q \in M_2(D^+)$ , where  $D^+$  denotes the unitalization of  $D$ .

By Lemma 2.2,  $f(\mathbf{a}) = f(\mathbf{b})$  and  $\mathbf{a}f(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{b}f(\mathbf{a})$ , so  $P$  and  $Q$  are projections. One also has  $P - Q \in M_2(D)$ , hence

$$x = [P] - [Q] \in K_0(D).$$

**Lemma 4.2.**  $K_0(D) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  with  $x$  as a generator.

*Proof.* Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow D \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{C} * \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathbb{C} * \mathbb{C}$  is the universal (nonunital)  $C^*$ -algebra (5) generated by two projections,  $p$  and  $q$  [6], and  $\pi$  is given by restriction to  $[0, 1]$ ,  $\pi(\mathbf{a}) = p$ ,  $\pi(\mathbf{b}) = q$ . We have  $\pi(P) = (1 - q) \oplus p$ ,  $\pi(Q) = (1 - q) \oplus q$ , so  $\pi_*(x) = [p] - [q] \in K_0(\mathbb{C} * \mathbb{C})$ . As  $P(t) = Q(t)$  when  $t \in [-1, 0]$ , so for the boundary (exponential) map  $\delta : K_0(\mathbb{C} * \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow K_1(J)$  we have  $\delta(P) = \delta(Q)$ . Recall that  $J \cong C_0(-1, 0)$ . Direct calculation shows that  $\delta(P) = \delta(Q) \neq 0$ . The claim follows now from the  $K$ -theory exact sequence

$$0 = K_0(J) \longrightarrow K_0(D) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} K_0(\mathbb{C} * \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta} K_1(J) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

□

Let us define a map  $\kappa : L(A) \rightarrow K_0(A)$ . If  $l = [(a, b)] \in L(A)$  then the pair  $(a, b)$  determines a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : D \rightarrow M_n(A)$  by  $\varphi(\mathbf{a}) = a$ ;  $\varphi(\mathbf{b}) = b$ . So,  $l \in L(A)$  determines a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi$  up to homotopy (for some  $n$ ). Put

$$\kappa(l) = \varphi_*(x) \in K_0(A).$$

As this definition is homotopy invariant and as direct sum of pairs corresponds to direct sum of  $*$ -homomorphisms, so the map  $\kappa$  is a well defined group homomorphism.

Recall that there is also a map  $\iota : K_0(A) \rightarrow L(A)$  given by  $\iota([p] - [q]) = [(p, q)]$ , where  $[p] - [q] \in K_0(A)$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** For any unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , one has  $\kappa \circ \iota = \text{id}_{K_0(A)}$ ;  $\iota \circ \kappa = \text{id}_{L(A)}$ , hence  $L(A) = K_0(A)$ .

*Proof.* To show the first identity, let  $z \in K_0(A)$  and let  $p, q \in M_n(A)$  be projections such that  $z = [p] - [q]$ . Let  $\varphi : D \rightarrow M_n(A)$  be a  $*$ -homomorphism determined by the pair  $(p, q)$ . Then, due to universality of  $\mathbb{C} * \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\varphi$  factorizes through  $\mathbb{C} * \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\varphi = \psi \circ \pi$ , where  $\pi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C} * \mathbb{C}$  is the quotient map and  $\psi : \mathbb{C} * \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M_n(A)$  is determined by  $\psi(i_1(1)) = p$  and  $\psi(i_2(1)) = q$ , where  $i_1, i_2 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} * \mathbb{C}$  are inclusions onto the first and the second copy of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\varphi(x) = \psi_*([i_1(1)] - [i_2(1)]) = [p] - [q],$$

hence  $\kappa(\iota(z)) = z$ .

Let us show the second identity. For  $[(a, b)] \in L(A)$ , let  $\varphi : D \rightarrow M_n(A)$  be a  $*$ -homomorphism defined by the pair  $(a, b)$  (i.e. by  $\varphi(\mathbf{a}) = a$ ,  $\varphi(\mathbf{b}) = b$ ), and let  $\varphi^+ : D^+ \rightarrow M_n(A)$  be its extension,  $\varphi^+(1) = 1$ . Then  $\iota(\kappa([(a, b)])) = [(\varphi_2^+(P), \varphi_2^+(Q))]$ , where  $\varphi_2^+ = \varphi^+ \otimes \text{id}_{M_2}$ .

For  $s \in [0, 1]$ , set



$$P_s = C_s P C_s; \quad Q_s = C_s Q C_s, \quad \text{where } C_s = \begin{pmatrix} s \cdot 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$P_s, Q_s \in M_2(D^+), \quad P_s - Q_s \in M_2(D), \quad 0 \leq P_s, Q_s \leq 1, \\ (P_s - P_s^2)(P_s - Q_s) = 0, \quad (Q_s - Q_s^2)(P_s - Q_s) = 0$$

for all  $s \in [0, 1]$ ;  $P_0, Q_0 \in M_2(D)$ , and

$$P_1 = P, \quad Q_1 = Q; \quad P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,  $(\varphi_2^+(P_s), \varphi_2^+(Q_s))$  provides a homotopy connecting  $(\varphi_2^+(P), \varphi_2^+(Q))$  with  $(0 \oplus a, 0 \oplus b)$ , hence, the pair  $(\varphi_2^+(P), \varphi_2^+(Q))$  is equivalent to the pair  $(a, b)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *The functors  $L$  and  $K_0$  coincide for any  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Both functors are half-exact and coincide for unital  $C^*$ -algebras, so the claim follows.  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** Similarly to  $D$ , one can define a  $C^*$ -algebra  $D_B$  for any  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  as an appropriate extension of  $B * B$  by  $CB$ , where  $CB$  is the cone over  $B$  (or by  $D_B = CB *_B CB$ ). Then one gets the group  $[D_B, A \otimes \mathbb{K}]$ . Regrettably,  $D_B$  has no nice presentation (unlike  $D = D_{\mathbb{C}}$ ), so we don't pursue here the bivariant version.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Blackadar. *Shape theory for  $C^*$ -algebras*. Math. Scand. **56** (1985), 249–275.
- [2] B. Blackadar. *The homotopy lifting theorem for semiprojective  $C^*$ -algebras*. arXiv:1207.1909v3.
- [3] B. Blackadar.  *$K$ -theory for operator algebras*. MSRI Publications, **5**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [4] S. Eilers, T. A. Loring, G. K. Pedersen. *Stability of anticommutation relations: an application of noncommutative CW complexes*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **499** (1998), 101–143.
- [5] T. A. Loring. *Lifting solutions to perturbing problems in  $C^*$ -algebras*. Fields Institute Monographs, **8**. AMS, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [6] I. Raeburn, A. M. Sinclair. *The  $C^*$ -algebra generated by two projections*. Math. Scand. **65** (1989), 278–290.
- [7] H. Thiel. *One-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras and their  $K$ -theory*. Diplomarbeit. Münster University, 2009, [http://www.math.ku.dk/~thiel/One-dimensional\\_C-Algebras\\_and\\_their\\_K-theory.pdf](http://www.math.ku.dk/~thiel/One-dimensional_C-Algebras_and_their_K-theory.pdf)

MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, LENINSKIE GORY, MOSCOW, 119991, RUSSIA, AND HARBIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HARBIN, P. R. CHINA

*E-mail address:* manuilov@mech.math.msu.su